6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35 36

37

38 39

40 41

42 43

44

45

46 47 48

49

50 51

52 53



Trustees
Carol J. Burkard
Scott Ruggles
Andrea C. Voorheis
Rik Kowall

WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP

7525 Highland Road • White Lake, Michigan 48383-2900 • (248) 698-3300 • www.whitelaketwp.com

WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION

Regular Meeting 7525 Highland Road White Lake, MI 48383 February 20, 2014 @ 7:00 p.m.

Mr. Meagher called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. and led the Pledge of Allegiance. Roll was called: Mr. Kowall was excused.

ROLL CALL:

: Steve Anderson

Debby Dehart, Secretary

Rik Kowall, Board Liaison - Excused

David Lewsley

Sarah McNulty, Vice Chairperson Peter Meagher, Chairperson

Gail Novak-Phelps

David Pegg

Also Present:

Jason Iacoangeli, AICP, Staff Planner David Birchler, Township Consultant Susie Roble, Township Consultant Lynn Hinton, Recording Secretary

Visitors:

11

Approval of Agenda

Ms. Novak-Phelps moved to approve the agenda as presented. Mr. Anderson supported and the MOTION CARRIED with a voice vote. (5 yes votes)

Approval of Minutes

a. February 6, 2014

Mr. Anderson moved to approve the minutes of February 6, 2014 as submitted. Ms. Novak-Phelps supported and the MOTION CARRIED with a voice vote. (5 yes votes)

Call to the Public (for items not on the agenda)

Mr. Meagher opened the discussion for public comment on items not listed on the agenda, but none was offered.

Public Hearing:

54 55 56

File No.

Hidden Pines Park

Location:

Property described as parcel number 12-05-100-020 (5455 White Lake

Request:

Road), located on the north side of White Lake Road between Ormond and McKeachie Roads near the entrance of Hidden Pines Subdivision, consisting of approximately 9.23 acres.

1) Public Input on the Future Design and Development for Hidden Pines

Park

Applicant: White Lake Township

7525 Highland Road White Lake, MI 48383

Mr. Iacoangeli gave a brief Powerpoint presentation for the audience. He stated that the township went through a visioning process in 2011 to get input from the residents on what amenities they would like to see in the park. In 2012, the township put together a Master Plan for the park based on the feedback that was received.

The township is taking the next step in seeking funding for the project by applying for a grant utilizing the Michigan Natural Resource Trust Fund, which can be used for development of the park. Clearzoning planning consultants are assisting the township in the application process.

Mr. Birchler continued that because the township may at some point use the southeast corner of the site for a future fire station, it is important to keep this out of the description of what the grant would fund. Secondly, the grant application has been written and is almost ready for on line submission. The township is proposing to pledge \$175k toward the development of the park that will come from the Parks & Rec fund and not the Township General Fund. The money is available and will constitute 40% of the amount being requested from the state. The hope is to have the other 60% of the project funded by the grant. This is a good program and worth pursuing. One of the features in the grant application is a design that lends to safer access for children to get the bus.

Ms. Dehart asked who would plow the bus access route. Mr. lacoangeli stated the township maintenance department would have to maintain it, similar to the other parks within the township.

Ms. Dehart asked about fees for the ball diamond and future pavilion rental. Mr. lacoangeli stated the users of the casual ball games would not pay a fee, but the township reserves the right to charge fees for league play. Mr. Birchler clarified that fees could not be charged to enter the park.

Mr. Anderson asked what age group the ball diamond encompasses. Mr. Iacoangeli stated the size of the diamond is more tailored to youth ball or softball. It's not intended for hardball or high school ball. He noted that rental fees did offset some of the costs to maintain the park last season. He added that there are trash receptacles on site, and the park is currently being maintained by the Township Maintenance Department. Mr. Anderson asked if there was a pavilion there now. Mr. Iacoangeli stated there was not, but it is in the Master Plan for the future. Mr. Anderson noted that the size of the pavilion could generate opportunities for more people to use it. Mr. Birchler thought the proposed pavilion is 24 ft. x 24 ft.

Mr. Meagher opened the public hearing at 7:25 p.m.

Mary Early, 5925 Pine Ridge Court, indicated that she and her husband are business agents for the homeowners association. She personally loves the park. She referenced the graphic where the walk shows two entrances and a driveway and stated that their board has the authority to allow that access. She would like to see a fire station on the site. She added that there was no trouble with the kids playing ball this past summer and because there is no lighting, playing time is limited. She asked whether there was a plan for a permanent restroom facility to replace the existing porta potty, but Mr. lacoangeli stated the grant will not allow for a permanent structure, however it may allow for a shelter to enclose it and give a more permanent appeal.

John Early, 5925 Pine Ridge Court, asked how much detail had to be provided in the grant application on the park design. Mr. lacoangeli indicated that the township is providing the state with a Master Plan that explains the different amenities and cost expectations. Mr. Early stated he is concerned with the entry and overflow parking on the private road. They had called the police last summer and were told a "No Parking" sign would have to be posted. Mr. lacoangeli stated the parking that is proposed is designed for the park and for peak times during ball season. Mr. Early also noted that the design of the pull-in would

have to be designed to get a bus in and out. Mr. Iacoangeli indicated that this is a preliminary plan to give an idea of what the park would look like and considerations will be given to parking when the actual design is put into play. Mr. Early noted that they contacted an attorney and they would have to come to a maintenance agreement. Mr. Iacoangeli continued that the township is looking at different materials, i.e., concrete, asphalt, etc. The most expensive part of the project would be curbs, the parking area and drives. The technical design elements haven't been nailed down yet. Mr. Early stated that they would like to have input on how this all melds into the private drive. Mr. Iacoangeli noted that the township would find out whether the grant would be funded in December of this year. This is not a final design and if the grant is approved, there would need to be an engineered design done determining all the final details and dimensions. All of those issues will be sorted out once funding is secured. Mr. Early stated he has no problem with a bus coming in and turning around, but also wants to know how it will be maintained.

Mr. Birchler stated that in order to apply for a grant, the township doesn't need a development plan for the park. It's best to wait to see if funding is granted because the grant will allow up to 7% of the engineering costs. This is a concept plan for a good workable park. If it's not funded, the Township Board will have to decide whether it can move forward or if it has to scale back.

Chelsea Stone, 880 Teggerdine, referenced the children waiting at the bus stop. She questioned whether plowing would be funded by the grant. She referenced Lakeland High School, where students pay \$75 for a yearly parking permit and the lot does not seem to get plowed very well. She is also concerned with lighting and having a pathway to access the bus stop if parents are unable to drive their children. Mr. lacoangeli stated that the Parks & Rec fund would pay for maintaining and plowing with no fees imposed on the residents. He added that there is no lighting right now, since the buses only run during day hours.

Stephanie Gondek, 5355 White Lake Road, indicated that she was told at the visioning session, "no kid will hit a ball far enough to enter her yard" and "a 6 ft. berm and large trees would be added as a buffer to her yard". She feels the landscaping that was added is pathetic. She presented two softballs this evening that came within 5 ft. of her when she was in her yard. In addition, she constantly had kids in her yard retrieving balls. She would like to see more netting put up and some sort of boundary. She added that whoever is maintaining the landscaping has been weed-whacking her bushes and she'd like that mentioned to them. Mr. lacoangeli stated the grant funding would certainly be able to address those concerns.

There was continued discussion on Ms. Gondek's concerns for more netting and landscaping. The commission agreed that the configuration of the field was not the best. There is existing mesh that does keep the balls from hitting her windows, however they feel the netting can be expanded to cover the entire outfield. Also, the landscaping that was placed was put in with the idea of being temporary until funding is secured. Mr. Anderson noted when looking at one of the softballs Ms. Gondek presented, is that there is no restricting flight on the balls. A ball of this type would restrict the distance of how far the balls can go. Ms. Gondek feels that option would be hard to police.

Mr. Lewsley feels that while the application process is going on, the township can look at putting up fencing before the season starts this year. Mr. Birchler added that there is a waiting period before funding occurs, and if the township does any work beforehand, it will lose the grant money. As long as this is something that will not be covered under the grant, which it won't, it would be safe to do.

Dillon Henderson, 5287 Oak Hill, Commerce Township, likes the idea of flipping the field around. He feels it could be opened up for high school tournaments, which would generate revenue. With regard to plowing, most plowing is done on the weekends and salted during the week. He also likes the idea of extra netting for Ms. Gondek. He asked about alcohol consumption at the park and Mr. Iacoangeli stated the Township Police patrol the park several times per week.

Miss Stone referenced the bus stop again and is concerned with stranger danger for the children who have to walk to the bus stop or whose parents can't be at the stop with them.

Mrs. Early added that she does not want to see ball tournaments at this field. This is small park and they'd like to keep it that way.

 Joe Erlich, 2655 Wakefield, White Lake, asked if this was previously discussed and approved by residents. Mr. lacoangeli indicated that there was a visioning session in 2011 and another meeting in 2012 and what is being proposed is a result of those discussions. Mr. Erlich stated that they live on Grass Lake and he's been asked to get patrols on Steeple Hill and Grass Lake Roads because people are fishing on the lake and parking on the streets. He is also concerned with the plowing and maintenance of Hidden Pines Park for the children at the bus stop and feels this area has to be front and center.

With no other comments, the public hearing was closed at 7:55 p.m.

Liaison's Report

Mr. Kowall was not present to give a report, but Mr. Iacoangeli reported that the Szott Dealership was granted preliminary site plan approval to move forward; the Board was presented with the Michigan Parks & Rec Award for Bloomer Park; and Whispering Forest will come back next month on March 20th.

Consultant's Report

Mr. Birchler had nothing further to add.

Director's Report

Mr. lacoangeli had nothing further to add.

Communications:

Next meeting dates:

 Regular Meeting - March 6, 2014 (possible cancellation)

Regular Meeting - March 20, 2014

Adjournment

Ms. Novak-Phelps moved to adjourn the meeting at 7:58 p.m. Mr. Anderson supported and the MOTION CARRIED with a unanimous voice vote. (5 yes votes)