Gregory R. Baroni, Supervisor Terry Lilley, Clerk Mike Roman, Treasurer



Trustees Carol J. Burkard Scott Ruggles Andrea C. Voorheis Rik Kowall

3 4

2

5 6

7

8 9 10

12 13

11

14

15 16 17

18 19

20 21 22

23 24

25 26

31 32 33

34 35 36

37 38 39

40 41 42

43

44

45 46 47

48 49 50

52 53 54

51

55 56 57

58

# WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP

7525 Highland Road • White Lake, Michigan 48383-2900 • (248) 698-3300 • www.whitelaketwp.com

### WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION

Regular Meeting 7525 Highland Road White Lake, MI 48383 June 5, 2014 @ 7:00 p.m.

Ms. Dehart called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. and led the Pledge of Allegiance. Roll was called: Mr. Meagher and Ms. McNulty were excused.

Ms. Novak-Phelps moved to appoint Mr. Lewsley to act as temporary Chair for this meeting, in the absence of the Chair and Vice Chair. Mr. Anderson supported and the MOTION CARRIED with a voice vote. (7 yes votes)

ROLL CALL: Steve Anderson

Matt Carr

Debby Dehart, Secretary Rik Kowall, Board Liaison

David Lewsley

Sarah McNulty, Vice Chairperson - Excused Peter Meagher, Chairperson - Excused

Gail Novak-Phelps

David Pegg

Also Present:

Sean O'Neil, AICP, Community Development Director

Greg Gucwa, Township Engineer Lynn Hinton, Recording Secretary

Visitors: 8

Approval of Agenda

Ms. Novak-Phelps moved to approve the agenda as presented. Mr. Anderson supported and the MOTION CARRIED with a voice vote. (7 yes votes)

#### Approval of Minutes

- a. March 20, 2014
- b. April 17, 2014

Mr. Anderson moved to approve the minutes of March 20, 2014 as submitted. Mr. Kowall supported and the MOTION CARRIED with a voice vote. (7 yes votes)

Mr. Anderson moved to approve the minutes of April 17, 2014 as submitted. Ms. Dehart supported and the MOTION CARRIED with a voice vote. (7 yes votes)

Call to the Public (for items not on the agenda)

Mr. Lewsley opened the discussion for public comment on items not listed on the agenda, but none was offered.

## Continuing Business:

a. File No.

13-017 Ivy Glen Park (fka Whispering Forest)

Location:

Property described as parcel number 12-36-426-023, located on the west side of Williams Lake Road, just north of Cooley Lake Road, consisting of

approximately 12.74 acres.

Request:

1) Final Site Plan Approval

2) Planned Development Agreement

Applicant:

BPH Development Mr. Craig Piasecki

376 Beach Farm Circle #231

Highland, MI 48357

Mr. O'Neil reviewed Mr. Birchler's report. Birchler Arroyo has done two reviews of this file, May 9 and May 23, and he believes this is eligible for Final Site Plan approval subject to the applicant illustrating the conservation easement on the site plan and providing a new Article in the Development Agreement with appropriate descriptive language to protect the open space/common element. This should be delineated very clearly with regard to the common area that is lasting and attractive and no encroachment into that area.

 Mr. Gucwa reviewed his report. The majority of items have been addressed, with the exception of permanent electrical service at the pump station. Mr. O'Neil noted that easements are in place and issues from building official and engineer have been addressed with regard to sanitary hook up and electrical service. The site plan will attach to the Planned Development Agreement (PDA) for reference purposes. He added that there is an existing walnut tree near the closest neighbor to the development and that neighbor has asked if it were possible to save the tree. The developer stated the tree sits 40 ft. into his property line and has a 50 ft. canopy. It doesn't appear it can be saved.

Regarding the PDA, Clearzoning had 2 provisions listed in their May 23, 2014 review. First is the maintenance of storm water. The pumps will operate as needed. Secondly, a new Article should be added for the conservation easement and designated open space, and the area it will protect. The applicant can leave the date blank until this is granted.

 Mr. O'Neil gave a brief summary the township attorney's review. A few items of importance are that the applicant should provide a document of title commitment; and they must provide all necessary easements. With regard to the requirement for a traffic assessment and community impact statements, both could be waived since there would not be a traffic impact outside of what it could have been developed originally. If waived, a statement to that respect should be added to the PDA. The applicant also needs to add the dates that the plan was first considered, the date of the public hearing, and recitals that the PDA be executed between parties. There are also some minor housekeeping issues with the language, i.e., typos. The developer cannot deviate from the standards in the PDA and must comply with township ordinances, except where otherwise stated in the PDA.

Mr. O'Neil added that there are references to maintenance being done by developer and the Homeowners Association in other places in the PDA. As long as the developer is in control they are responsible, and when the Homeowner's Association takes over, then they are responsible. This is critical and needs to be stated in the PDA.

An Escrow deposit of 15% will be required and held in escrow by the township for the total cost of a permanent pump for the storm water system. The applicant will get that information to the township engineer when they get the total cost. No one expects the pump to fail however, 15% feels adequate in case the township has to pump at any time in the future.

Mr. Lewsley asked whether the 15% would remain in escrow or if it is intended to be a bond. Mr. Kowall felt it was in escrow as seed money and somewhere in the agreement they should have a dollar amount per year going into the fund as part of their dues, so within the 10-12 years when failure is a greater

possibility, the money will be there. He would like this added to the PDA. Mr. Anderson asked if the agreement should also state a certain time period, and whether there will be enough money to handle this 10-15 years from now. Mr. Kowall stated the total amount of the equipment could be divided by the number of parcels to get a balance.

Mr. Lewsley sees a potential problem with this strategy. We're hoping the temporary arrangement will work, and a permanent pump is never needed. Mr. Kowall replied that in event of non-use of this pump, a clause could be added that if more money is in there, it could be used to maintain the system, and any shortfall could be handled with an SAD. This is unlikely, but there needs to be a failsafe device in place to protect the homeowners.

In summary, the township wants to see an escrow account established with 15% of the total cost of a permanent pump, and the escrow account could be used by the Homeowner's Association after the developer turns over to the association for purposes of renting, maintaining pump station and retention basins, or putting in a permanent pump. Mr. O'Neil added that the timing for collection could be no earlier than the pre-con, and no later than the issuance of the first C of O on the first home.

Regarding a model home on the site, Mr. O'Neil stated his office will discuss this further with the developer. They have to be reasonably well along with the development. The township will collect a new punch list, and allow permits to be issued, with a bond in place of 125%.

The attorney for the developer, Bruce Hutt, stated he does not have any problems with what is being asked by the Commission.

Resident Diana Miller, 8060 Casa Mia, stated she owns the home behind the proposed retention basin. She feels there is a lot of discussion about the temporary pump and having funding for a permanent one. She feels a permanent pump should be put in from the beginning. The retention goes into her property and she is concerned with flooding issues, especially with her septic field. There is a clay boundary that comes into her property at her septic field. Once the houses are built and trees are removed there will be a lot of runoff. Ms. Novak-Phelps asked Mrs. Miller whether her septic has failed since she's been there and Ms. Miller stated that it had not, but they reworked a few areas and emptied the tank in lieu of having a new field put in. She added on another note, that she has seen what is being proposed for landscaping and requested it be changed to have decorative tall grasses or something natural. Pine trees would be killed with fungus and shrubs are constant maintenance. Mr. Lewsley felt this could be addressed in the final construction plans.

Mr. O'Neil addressed Mrs. Miller's suggestion of putting a permanent pump in. The discussion was that the developer didn't want to do a permanent pump because a temporary pump will function with no problems. Johnson & Anderson is also comfortable with this. They are putting in the overflow system minus the pump, and groundwork has already been laid out. The developer has gone above and beyond what is required by the ordinance. At this point, the Board is approving of the design and the 15%. He also feels decorative grasses sound less expensive and could be a viable option.

Ms. Dehart asked for more discussion on the conservation easement. Mr. Lewsley noted that it's a condition of the final PDA. They have to illustrate the open space of the conservation easement on the site plan. Ms. Dehart also stated it's important that it's referenced in the documents that they can't touch the easement and it should be linked between the PDA and condo by-laws and master deed.

Ms. Novak-Phelps moved in File 13-017 lvy Glen Park to approve the Final Site Plan with all conditions set forth from the township consultants and the Community Development Department. Mr. Kowall supported and the MOTION CARRIED with a roll call vote: Anderson – yes; Novak-Phelps – yes; Kowall – yes; Pegg – yes; Lewsley – yes; Carr – yes; Dehart – yes (7 yes votes)

Ms. Novak-Phelps moved in File 13-017 lvy Glen Park to recommend approval of the Planned Development Agreement by the Township Board subject conditions by the Community Development Director and township consultants; that the traffic assessment and community impact statement be waived; that there be a 15% escrow account arrangement for maintenance and provision of a temporary pump and possibility of a permanent pump and that the developer and the homeowner's association be required to maintain funding at that level; and that the

language clarify the responsibilities of the development with the developer and the homeowner's association for maintenance of the pump and retention ponds; and any reference to the developer would carry over to the homeowner's association; that the Planned Development Agreement be referenced in condo association's master deed and bylaws; and that there be a delineation of the open space conversation agreement on the final site plans referenced within the Planned Development Agreement. Mr. Anderson supported and the MOTION CARRIED with a roll call vote: Anderson – yes; Lewsley – yes; Kowall – yes; Novak-Phelps – yes; Carr – yes; Pegg – yes; Dehart – yes. (7 yes votes)

### **New Business:**

a. Presentation by Dr. Lance Stokes and Mr. John Wischman regarding parcel number 12-25-226-008, located on the north west corner of Summit Ridge and Williams Lake Road, consisting of approximately 3.58 acres and is currently zoned Planned Business District (PBD).

Dr. Stokes gave a powerpoint presentation on a proposed project, Residential Upscale Self Storage. He gave a brief overview of the area south of Elizabeth Lake road and West of Williams Lake Road. He is looking for the commission to amend the Planned Business District zoning to allow this type of business in that area. He continued that Residential Upscale Storage is a different concept. It is storage of residential property in an area with pleasant surroundings in a non-industrial area. They are proposing three 1-story structures, each 170x250 ft.

He reviewed a rendering and if conceptually approved, they would bring back professional engineering plans. He made it clear there would be no outside storage of any kind. A special feature is an impermeable membrane to store couches without having mold set in. They will also have lighting, security, cameras, and fire suppression, but no sanitary facilities on the property.

He continued that the property was proposed for residential dwellings, and they did make inquiries, but there were inhibitors. There is no sewer to that property; and the document signed by Mr. Shearlock states those requirements. They don't have this within their economic development plan and there would be problems with the number of units that could be put on the property, which limits income. He feels there is a demand for upscale self-storage.

Mr. Pegg asked what the intended use of the rest of the property would be. Mr. Stokes stated he is opposed to disturbing the ground. They propose stones/gravel and would sustain the green area. The total site is 3.68 acres and they are just using a portion of it on the west side. The area needs work to be evened out and prepped. Mr. Wischman added that they would like to one day put in an apartment building when the sewers eventually come in.

Mr. O'Neil indicated that he has talked with Mr. Stokes and Mr. Wischman for several months and has told them this is not the best use for this property. The industrial district is the best for what they are proposing. He noted that this property was rezoned to PB, which is not consistent with the Master Plan. All those properties will be on sewer at some point and the applicant could development a multi-unit residential by bringing 360 ft. of sewer to that property. The PB zoning stands alone and Mr. O'Neil would caution the township in moving in that direction. The PB was created to provide a cohesive well-planned out development. Mr. Stokes proposal is contrary to what the PB is designed to do. This site would be a better location for assisted living, etc. He doesn't have a problem with what they want to do, but rather with where they want to do it. There are many retail uses that would be well received and the township wants to push to have something appropriate, needed, and would be willing to bring water/sewer in. Mr. O'Neil opposes a request to veer from PB.

Mr. Stokes stated they could not be expected to put in sewer the length of a football field. He said the back part of the property is owned by someone else and maybe they would be interested. Mr. O'Neil stated that when commercial property is involved, they extend the water/sewer. Additionally, the township does not allow unpaved parking lots and there has to be storm water facilities, etc. This is just not the right fit for this property.

Mr. Stokes asked for a vote from the commission on whether it would amend the PB district zoning to put in an upscale storage facility.

The consensus from the Commission is that there is no interest to initiate an amendment to the PB ordinance.

### Liaison's Report

Mr. Kowall reported that the township came through the Plante Moran audit with flying colors; millages for the library, police and fire will be on the election ballots; (4) bids were received for putting more parking on the west side of the township hall and changing the landscaping; the township hall is getting a new boiler system; new vehicles have been purchased; and there has been some discussion regarding the Parks & Rec pedestrian bridge along M-59.

The board also had discussion regarding an amendment to Oakland County's solid waste plan, and a new facility is trying to be set up in Pontiac, which would serve the surrounding communities. The White Lake Presbyterian Church was denied an extension of their permit. The 2014 Fireworks were approved. The Fire Chief requested to update fire equipment. The sewer issue is still not resolved yet because of new information that was presented at the end of their last special meeting. It appears there will be multifaceted solutions. And lastly, a binder of Annual Departmental Reports was created and is available to any of the commission members for review.

Mr. Pegg reported that the Parks and Rec signs are going in; the Movie in the Park event has been scheduled; they are making progress with the M-59 pedestrian bridge; and a doggie waste station was installed at Bloomer Park.

## Consultant's Report

Via Mr. Birchler's email dated May 31, 2014, he and Mr. O'Neil attended the National Planning Conference in Atlanta and there were a great number of "take-aways" from the sessions they attended. His newsletter this month summarizes many of the trends that were discussed at this year's conference.

### **Director's Report**

Mr. O'Neil indicated the "One Stop Ready" email went out yesterday. There are 4 dates available for commission members to select any or all sessions and these could be registered for online.

The Szott project will be resubmitted next week.

The township received an \$1,800 grant from DTE to plant trees, at which the township also contributed \$3,000.

Interest is picking up for development within the township.

#### Communications:

#### Next meeting dates:

- Regular Meeting June 19, 2014
- Regular Meeting July 3, 2014

### Adjournment

Ms. Novak-Phelps moved to adjourn the meeting at 9:10 p.m. Mr. Anderson supported and the MOTION CARRIED with a unanimous voice vote. (7 yes votes)