

Trustees
Scott Ruggles
Andrea C. Voorheis
Rik Kowall
Michael Powell

## WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP

7525 Highland Road • White Lake, Michigan 48383-2900 • (248) 698-3300 • www.whitelaketwp.com

## WHITE LAKE TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION

7525 Highland Road White Lake, MI 48383 **June 16, 2016 @ 7:00 p.m.** 

Ms. Novak-Phelps called the meeting to order at 7:05 p.m. and led the Pledge of Allegiance. Roll was called: Ms. Carlock, Ms. Grubb, Mr. Kowall and Mr. Lewsley and Mr. Meagher were excused. Due to a lack of quorum, no action could be taken this evening however, the commission agreed to hold an informal, unofficial, discussion on the agenda items.

ROLL CALL: Steve Anderson - Vice Chairperson

Merrie Carlock - Excused Debby Dehart - Secretary

Mark Fine

Rhonda Grubb - Excused

Rik Kowall, Board Liaison - Excused

David Lewsley - Excused

Peter Meagher

Gail Novak-Phelps - Chairperson

Also Present: Sean O'Neil, AICP, Community Development Director

Patrick Sloan, Planning Consultant Lynn Hinton, Recording Secretary

Visitors:

Approval of Agenda

Due to a lack of quorum, no action could be taken.

**Approval of Minutes** 

a. March 17, 2016

Due to a lack of quorum, no action could be taken.

Call to the Public (for items not on the agenda)

Ms. Novak-Phelps opened the discussion for public comment on items not listed on the agenda, but none was offered.

## **New Business:**

a. Presentation by Mr. Rob Pope regarding parcel number 12-26-204-002 (9541 Elizabeth Lake road), located on the south side of Elizabeth Lake Road across from Myrick Ave., consisting of approximately 1.33 acres and is currently zoned General Business (GB).

Mr. O'Neil indicated that Mr. Pope owns Roco Supply Company along the corridor. He is growing out of his current location and is here to present a conceptual plan for the property across the street from his business, which used to be the old Oxbow Roadhouse site. There is a lot of opportunity in this area and Mr. Pope is hoping to build a multi-tenant building, but also operate a use consistent with the ordinance. The look would be like traditional retail and give the feel the township is seeking with the Master Plan and ordinance. Mr. Pope would still operate with some bulk storage in the back/inside the building. He is looking for feedback/comments from the commission this evening.

Mr. Pope gave a slide presentation showing an aerial view of the property and noted there is a dry creek bed at the rear of the property that may be useful for a retention basin, so he doesn't feel drainage on the site would be an issue. He also showed conceptual pictures of what the building could look like. He continued that he is changing directions with his company to move forward with LED lighting for industrial and commercials uses, and some residential. He added that they are outgrowing the small warehouse they are currently in. His goal is to divide the 11,500 sq. ft. of property into 1,500 sq. ft. units with the hope of getting tenants, i.e., nail salon, restaurant, etc. He would be hooked up to sanitary sewer and water.

Mr. O'Neil noted there are a few challenges on the site with power lines, that would have to be moved, and with storm water. Engineering and the county would have to determine how big the basin would have to be. There are also buffering requirements when commercial abuts residential and different layouts would have to be explored.

Mr. Pope indicated that this preliminary layout was drawn to specifications, and he is proposing 80 ft. deep with many natural features in between. They would propose to add parking when the time comes. He did get a preliminary estimate from the utility company to move the poles.

Ms. Novak-Phelp thinks this is a great idea, and Mr. O'Neil added that this is an area the township wants reinvested to serve the local residents.

Mr. Anderson noted that Mr. Pope should make sure the building is attractive enough to get the client in. Knowing this is off the M-59 corridor, he would want people to drive over there.

Mr. Fine stated he lives in that area, and there's currently nothing there. He feels there would be a benefit with having a strip mall in this area.

Ms. Dehart likes the idea and feels Mr. Pope would get a lot of foot traffic in this area.

Mr. Sloan of McKenna Associates indicated that the concept has a 0 lot line building setback which is in conflict with the zoning ordinance, but this could be discussed in plan review. He also suggested having a cross connection between commercial uses is always a good thing.

b. Discussion on possible Zoning Ordinance 58 amendments.

 Mr. O'Neil indicated that he asked McKenna Associates to look at this, since there are many discrepancies that were discovered, which will need ordinance amendments.

 Mr. Sloan confirmed that when looking at this, they discovered that the permitted and special land uses listed for the proposed zoning district in the Use Matrix of the Zoning Ordinance were inconsistent with Section 3.1. Additionally, the associated development standards in Article 4 were also inconsistent with the Use Matrix and Section 3.1. The conflicts within Article 4 typically come from individual permitted use tables for some of the use categories.

He has prepared an analysis of the Zoning Ordinance reflecting all of the discrepancies. He provided a summary of the table, along with McKenna's recommendation on how to proceed based on their interpretation of the intent of the Zoning Ordinance. While the intent seems to be clear for many of the items, there is at least 10 items on the list that will require discussion.

The meeting adjourned at 8:10 p.m.

117 118 119 120 121	Mr. Anderson asked if taking McKenna's recommendation would have an impact from a business standpoint with someone coming in, and whether there would there be more limitations as to where they can put their use. Mr. Sloan stated there is a problem for both the township and the district. Currently we can say what we think is the intent and it can probably be worked out.
122 123 124 125	Ms. Dehart was concerned that if we list all the uses, and new ones come up, the ordinance wouldn't include them. Mr. O'Neil responded that the ordinance doesn't contemplate all uses, and it would have to be interpreted.
126 127 128 129	Mr. Anderson added that we don't want to restrict business opportunities. We need to have something categorized in a group, but not to pigeonhole someone if they have an opportunity. He asked Mr. O'Neil how difficult it was to interpret a use.
130 131 132	Mr. O'Neil noted that any use comes before the commission. Initial feedback may come at the staff level, but the Planning Commission will ultimately weigh in on it and make a determination.
133 134 135 136	Mr. O'Neil indicated that the goal tonight was to give a good explanation of what was discovered, make amendments, and hold a public hearing in the future. This will be an involved process and he is suggesting this come back at the July 7 meeting.
137 138 139 140	Mr. O'Neil stated this is very complex and he was disappointed to learn there were so many discrepancies from the prior review in 2012. He acknowledged all the work McKenna Associates did on this review.
141 142 143	The consensus of the group was that they are comfortable with the clear recommendations from McKenna Associates and will discuss the dozen or so further with a full commission present.
144 145	Consultant's Report
146 147	Mr. Sloan had no other comments.
148 149	Director's Report
150 151 152	Mr. O'Neil stated the office purchased a large format multi-function scanner that will be helpful when plan sets in. Scanning generates less paper.
153 154	Communications:
155 156 157 158	Next meeting dates:  • Regular Meeting – July 7, 2016 (Gail cannot attend)  • Regular Meeting – July 21, 2016
158 159 160	Adjournment